



**Kansspelautoriteit**

**Datum**

8 december 2014

**Ons kenmerk**

8732 / 00.041.691

# Bijlage 23


Brief van 6 november 2013:  
1 non-prioriteringsbrief



Gaming Authority

> Return address PO Box 298 2501 CG Den Haag

SEND BY REGISTERED MAIL



**Gaming Authority**

Wijnhaven 24  
2511 GA Den Haag  
PO Box 298  
2501 CG Den Haag  
[www.kansspelautoriteit.nl](http://www.kansspelautoriteit.nl)

**Contact**

Enforcement Department

T +31 (0)70 302 1300

F +31 (0)70 302 1399


**Our reference**

00.017.278

*Please quote date of letter  
and our ref. when replying. Do  
not raise more than one  
subject per letter.*

Date 6 November 2013  
Concerning Approach towards online games of chance

Dear 

The Enforcement Department of the Gaming Authority has sent you(r company) a letter dated September 19th 2013 with reference number 00.016.279. In this letter I wrote that there will be a final research of the websites 

Research undertaken on October 28th 2013 has shown that the abovementioned websites no longer meet (one of) the prioritisation criteria. The Gaming Authority appreciates you taking the necessary measures to prevent meeting one of the prioritisation.

Perhaps superfluously, I wish to point out the following. Offerors, who are aimed at the Dutch market, which no longer meet (one of) the prioritisation criteria are not legal because of this. The Gaming Authority can still decide to take enforcement measures against these offerors when there are any reason(s) to do so.

Furthermore, I wish to point out that the prioritisation criteria may evolve over time. When the Gaming Authority sees reason(s) to adjust the prioritisation criteria and intends to do so, we will try to inform you well in advanc

I trust to have informed you sufficiently.

Yours sincerely,  
Head of the Enforcement Department,

